<address sender>. <place sender>. <country sender>.

May 23, 2022.

Secretary General of the Council of Europe. Avenue de l'Europe. F-67075 Strasbourg Cedex. France.

also par FAX: +33 (0)3 88 41 27 99.

Excellency, dear mrs. Marija Pejčinović Burić,

Earlier I wrote an message (on May 19, 2017) to warn about the crimes of the (Dutch) judiciary and that this escalates and shall end in war. I never received a reply and you or your Council did nothing and now is a war going on in Ukraine. While you cry out for pitty.

I sent to you an open message at URL https://www.facebook.com/<sender>.752/, as copied hereafter.

Good day Secretary General of the Council of Europe, mrs. Marija Pejčinović Burić. I have informed you that this open message is released here. Your Council was set up specifically for the purpose of safeguarding peace in Europe and the execution of Human Rights. A message is previously written to your Council, on 19 May 2017, about the crimes committed by both Dutch tribunals and also by your European Court of Human Rights. It has been warned that this almost always escalates and this escalation ends in war. Now the allowance affair has passed in the Netherlands due to failing judiciary and there is now also a war in Ukraine. You or your Council did not respond to the message of 19 May 2017 and after this message you and your Council did not do anything conclusive. No war starts suddenly, but only after a trajectory of bullying and taunting. Now you clamour publicly of grief and pain at what the imagined enemy is doing to you, your Council and allies. But..., Secretary-General, yourself and your Council are the worst criminals who have willingly and knowingly provoked this war. These allegations are fully justified and here is the beginning of the explanation. On May 19, 2017, you were obliged to immediately carry out war-avoiding actions of the highest priority. Because the 'hot' topics in the only by war started 'peace negotiations' might as well have started immediately within the Council of Europe on 19 May 2017. Because this is what this Council was created for. Along with the issue of why the parties find no comfort at the Peace Palaces or the International Courts of Justice and these tribunals continued and continue to fail. But you and your Council deliberately and purposefully ignored. Because now you and your Council have cut off communication with the ignored party. With propaganda full of contempt, humiliation and thus exclusion. But ..., mrs Burić, getting or keeping peace is never going to happen this way. We help you and your Council again; but not without obligation. This open message will be continued on www.publicscrutiny.nl.

Continuation in service of the public scrutiny

The Council of Europe is a participant in the (international) clique that exists above the latent discrimination line (see open message at Facebook of eg 28-03-2022). Each participant can be recognized by the closure of communication with (at least) people who

think differently. They have completely lost the realization that they have to serve the individual civil citizen with the financial and material resources in and out of the common money pool. This money pool is paid for by the (tax) contribution of every citizen (individually and through their company) for the financial, material and human resources for the common good. But now the clique (for its own benefit of work and income) abuses this money pool or its resources.

Shutoff from communications

You and your Council have shut off Russia from communications. Then talking about preserving peace is shutoff. We, the individual civil citizens who stand up for the legal public scrutiny and Human Rights, are as well one-sided cut off by the clique from their communication. Peace and thus together living is impossible when with closing, as well as with ignoring, there is no exchange of information or insights. This form of pushing away is typical for born fighters who need an imagined enemy. Two or more winners, so each other's equal, is literally excluded!! Fighting about or for peace is impossible because every battle has one winner and further subjects or 'outcasts'.

Everyone can be here in our world

The 'peace negotiations' still only happen during war. Whereas before a war, bullying makes 'negotiations' for peace unfeasible. This realization after WWII begot the human rights that revealed 'being equal' and 'having respect' to block a war. Everyone is allowed to be there in our world, so even with an imagined enemy must be lived together in equality. This is perfectly possible because an enemy is an imagined delusion but in reality a fellow human being with a different believe. Living together within "Human Rights" does not require any further ties. Each participant in the clique must fight against its own fear of the unknown, which can only be resolved through proper communication through any means without violence.

Repeating and repeating is primitive stupid

Over the centuries there have been many wars and therefore many 'peace negotiations'. So that, looking back, every war is created by not carrying out the 'peace treaties' as their author (both sides) wants and intends. By continuing with this, it is already clear in advance that the 'peace negotiations' in the war now in Ukraine are completely useless and meaningless. The (killed) soldiers in the war now in Ukraine have already been betrayed, just as the soldiers of WWI and WWII are betrayed: they did not fight for peace and safety. But they had to give their lives so as not to get a bullet in the head from their comrades for desertion or treason.

Each war is extinguished by the agreements that precede this extinguishing: the 'peace treaty'. The parties to this 'peace treaty' have the authority over its implementation and enforcement. It is executed the way the author wants and intends it. These are the well-known rules in advance so that the peace conforms to the rules of "Fair Play" that makes it sustainable.

When this authority has been worked out, there will be 'new' explanations of the 'peace treaty'. Because of the then many incorrect and unjustified new explanations, peace grows away from the origin and the many unfair explanations escalate into new disputes and war.

So that, Secretary General of the Council of Europe, mrs. Marija Pejčinović Burić, the new explanation by you and your Council of the ECHR or the new explanation by the European Court of Human Rights of the ECHR is far beyond the limits of "Fair Play" and the guarantee of new disputes and war. Of which the war in Ukraine is now empirical evidence.

The tribunal

The meant tribunal in the ECHR is strictly bound to the rules of "Fair Play" in every fair trial, it is faithful to the unity of Right and equality of Right, i.e. to the property rights of the legislator (the author), and is skilled in doing justice plus that this justice will also be seen done afterwards. The final judgment on this is by the public scrutiny.

The legal public scrutiny

Each judgment must legally required be pronounced in public after a public hearing. This obligation serves the legal public scrutiny that always has the final verdict. From (or for) this legal public scrutiny, the Manifesto, the Charter and the "Manual for Public Scrutiny" are public and can be reached at any time for download (URL: www.publicscrutiny.nl).

The tribunal becomes co-legislator

Also the war in Ukraine is there because there is no proper tribunal. For years ago there have been complaints that tribunals are political bodies. A correct and justified complaint because you and your Council have published the will and intention to become colegislator, at least in the Netherlands, via the national tribunals or their judges (URL https://www.venice.coe.int/webforms/ documents/?pdf=CDL-AD(2021)031-e). You and your Council defend that than there is a chance that the crimes committed by tribunals and their judges will decrease. Subsequently additional, empirical evidence has been provided by the Dutch government that provides inserts for European and UN treaties, for emphatic confirmation that what has been agreed in the treaty has actually been agreed. Each insert is against the abuse of independence by the (national) tribunals or their judges. In addition to this evidence, there is the European Commission that is taking Poland to the European Court of Justice to enforce independent justice with money (ie to buy), whereby the Commission emphatically bypasses the European Court of Human Rights. It is absurd that, many decades ago, individual civil citizens have complained that tribunals or their judges have become political institutions and have hardly or no justice carried out. As a result internationally, among other things, the provoked Russia has taken and executed its own measures through war. Now, in war, the 'hot' topics **do** are discussed for a solution.

The tribunal or their judges are, self-declared, criminally immune

The Dutch Public Prosecution Service (OM) has stated that the officials are the State. This is undisputed (because a democratic State is something else). Subsequently because of this, this Public Prosecution Service has decided that civil servants (also sworn judges are civil servants) are each immune from criminal law. Every tribunal has also by this, a safe-conduct to commit crimes to unlimited heaviness. This is contrary to the will and aim of the legislator (in the Netherlands) with the "General Provisions Act" or, for example, with Article 162 "Law for criminal procedure". There is no tribunal or judge that judged or shall judge the decision of the Public Prosecution Service, that executes the General Provisions Act on its own or executes Article 162 on colleague-judges. The legal public scrutiny, which always has the final judgment, has condemned the decision of the Public Prosecution Service (URL: www.publicscrutiny.nl) and this judgment is ignored by the Dutch clique and the international clique including the Council of Europe. This ignoring also, provides empirical evidence that the democratic State does not exist.

War

The state of war is a, in everything separated, existence of people. After all, war is for the sole purpose of killing and destroying and thus completely opposite to together living. This existence at war is completely beyond the boundaries created by the "Declaration of Human Rights". After all, this Declaration is wanted and aiming to combat the transition to war. The imagined "war crimes" do not change the failure of doing justice that preceded

them. This incitement has never been judged and just as well is never judged the incitement via the bullet through the head for desertion or treason.

Failing of doing justice

The guaranteed tribunal always remains the very last in line, but since decades ago the criminal tribunal wants to have the dominance and be co-legislator.

Each "peace treaty" is elaborated in national, democratic law for order and peace. There is always a first and fair judgment of a tribunal for all equal cases (Paragraphs "The tribunal" and "The legal public scrutiny" above). Since many decades ago, tribunals abuse the status "independent" and judge on the basis of their own -personal and fleeting- opinion afterwards in every isolated dispute. So that it is already established in advance that the judgment is completely useless, already because the tribunal itself will not follow the first, fair judgment, plus that the enormous amount of variation in the equally enormous amount of judgments in advance already proves that a legal process is completely useless.

Failing Democracy

If there really is democracy, then there will never be war because nearly every individual civil citizen, the population below the latent discrimination line, will never choose the hell of war.

The demonstrations and protests are each an empirical evidence of the failure of people representation in the phase up tol a law is passed. Due to the lack of =real= representatives of the people, it has become necessary to take one's own measures. Parliament has become a participant in the clique decades ago.

Each violence in any form and intensity is empirical evidence of the failing democracy in doing justice. Only the phase after a law has been enacted knows the doing justice. Democracy comes through challenge a tribunal or its judges and through public hearing. The right to bring any claim before a tribunal is completely useless if, as it has been for decades, the tribunal decides what may be claimed or against whom. The right to freedom of thought and expression of this, is completely useless if, as it has been for decades, it is not seriously listened to and processed seriously and visibly.

The game of the clique

War is a political game between cliques and especially those who keep a safe distance from actual war. The 'peace negotiations' are always started and conducted by the clique, but never before a war. The 'peace treaty' is carried out by the clique, but also betrayed by them (their successors). The political game "war" is hell on earth for every individual civil citizen, the population under the latent discrimination line. They are the only ones who afterwards have nothing left, have been made very dependent and thus effortlessly controllable for the clique.

Every group or network, ie every clique, irreparably destroys "independence". The established networks of tribunals and organizations within the clique do not solve anything because the first, fair judgment has been around for a long time and is public. Moreover, legal public scrutiny has been and is ignored by the clique and the networks. Every dispute is caused by the unfair, 'new' explanation and concerns 'hot' topics and is serious for those parties. So that in a quarrel, quite rightly, because of the failing doing justice and the failing democracy, the parties involved each follow their own believes and then take their own measures, so that war is ultimately inevitable.

Round up

The Council of Europe, through its Secretary General mrs. Marija Pejčinović Burić, cannot be requested for the charity of respect of human rights. After all, it is a (peace treaty) duty of every clique and every participant in a clique. Moreover, after all, the clique exists, because of the rule of "the Law" which can exist only within the "Human Rights", to serve every individual civil citizen.

Nevertheless, history repeats because the clique continues to put in tax, police and judiciary to their oppression of the civilian population. Also by each participant individually, which has been empirically proven by mrs. Kaag after her incitement, when she did not want to talk to her counterparty in the public hearing (cut off communication), but did scream out her suffering and had that counterpart punished for her error about threat.

Repair

So, the Secretary-General to be summoned to bring back her Council of Europe instantly to the manner of working so that, to start out with, the core business of the Council is carried out indisputably good and as well instantly.

A reminder to do this;

- A Apologizing to the European population, for the failure of the execution in time of the 'peace negotiations' before the war;
- B Apology to Russia for the ejection from the Council of Europe, plus instantly the invitation to participate again in the safeguarding of peace in Europe, by repairing the fair trial, and the sound doing justice that shall be seen;
- C The effective guarding in Europe of the authority of the legal public scrutiny plus the execution of its judgments, as the legal public scrutiny wants and aims, being ordered to the European Court of Human Rights;
- D Autonomously, make it irreparably impossible for the abuse by each participant in the clique, of the by civil citizens paid common financial, material and human resources for the common good;
- E Destroy instantly and irreparably the own ambition and the actions for becoming colegislator in the European countries via the national tribunals or their judges plus to destroy this ambition and the actions for this taken by national courts and their judges;

successively:

- F Never again naming one country that committed a crime, but all the countries where it happens, because after all the aim is non-discriminatory the crime;
- G By instantly irreparably destroying each network, national or international, of tribunals or their judges and forcing each to execute the law only as that legislator wills and intends;
- By forcing each country to instantly establish one tribunal-judgment for all equal cases plus that the huge number of existing judgments for each individual civil citizen is reduced to that only one judgment for all equal cases as that legislator wills and intends;

That all the damage is repaired and all irreparable damage is compensated by money, all this within two years, i.e. before 23 May 2024 under the rule of Article 8 of the Charter of public scrutiny.

You and the Council of Europe are committing war-preparing crimes.

The civil citizens in the regions in Ukraine are the same as, for example, in the regions in Spain. In Ukrainian regions, civil citizens learned that in Europe the people's representatives of Spanish regions are imprisoned for committing the crime of representing

their people. They learned that the right to freedom of thought and the right to express it has become a crime. Because Constitutions in European countries still have not been reconciled (by the clique) with the human rights after 77 years. So asking for help from another country and member of the Council of Europe for the protection of human rights is completely justified. Giving the requested help outside the Council is just as well completely justified.

Yours sincerely,	
Signature sender	
Sender.	